	WADI C HALI		
1	KARL S. HALL Reno City Attorney		
2	MARK W. DUNAGAN Deputy City Attorney		
3	Nevada State Bar No. 10574		
4	Post Office Box 1900 Reno, Nevada 89505		
5	(775) 334-2050		
6	Attorneys for City of Reno Scott Shaw and David Evans		
7	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	****		
10			
11	LAURA CONKLIN, an individual,	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-00260-MMD-VPC	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	vs.	DEFENDANT DAVID EVANS'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	
14	CITY OF RENO; a municipality; City of	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	
15	Reno <i>ex. rel</i> its Department of Police, a municipality; DAVID EVANS an		
16	individual; SCOTT SHAW, an individual;		
	and DOES 1-10		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	ANS	WER	
20	Defendant David Evans ("Evans") hereby responds to the Plaintiff Laura Conklin's		
21	Complaint (ECF No. 1) and its allegations, as such allegations pertain to Evans only, by		
22	admitting, denying and averring as follows:		
23	1. Evans admit the allegations of Pa	ragraphs 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 17, 41, 48, 57, 61, 62,	
24	63, 66, 74, 80, 103, and 104 of the Complaint.		
25	2. Evans denies the allegations of P	aragraphs 2, 9, 21, 36, 39, 43, 45, and 47 of the	
26	Complaint.		
27			
28			

Reno City Attorney P.O. Box 1900 Reno, NV 89505

- 3. Evans is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1, 3, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, and 111 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 4. Evans re-asserts his responses to the respective allegations re-alleged by Plaintiff in Paragraphs 60, 73, 86, 93, and 102 of the Complaint.
- 5. With regard to all allegations containing citations to statutory or case law, assertions regarding the interpretation, meaning or applications of such law, or general assertions about any law or a body of law, such allegations are not factual allegations to which a response is required. Except where otherwise clearly indicated, Evans does not adopt, admit or deny Plaintiff's allegations concerning any law or its interpretations. This paragraph is the response to the allegations of Paragraphs 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, and 81 of the Complaint.
- 6. With regard to all allegations based on unstated, unknown or unascertainable information, speculation, assertions stated without context or in vague, ambiguous, multiple or alternative ways, documents which are not part of the Complaint, conclusory allegations based on examples or generalizations, generalized statements about the acts or omissions of one or more unidentified persons or group members, conclusory allegations regarding the meaning of evidence, intentions, potential future actions and/or information or belief, the City Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations, and therefore deny the same.
- 7. To the extent not indicated elsewhere in this Answer, Evans denies all allegations of wrongdoing, liability and responsibility for damages.

26

27

28

1

## 2

3 4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Evans is immune from this action, and any liability alleged, pursuant to, among other authorities, NRS Chapter 41, including but not limited to sections 41.032 and 41.03475.
- 2. Plaintiff fails to allege facts sufficient to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 3. Plaintiff has contractually released claims related to many of the factual allegations of the Complaint, and relief cannot be granted as to causes of action premised on such allegations.
- 4. All decisions with respect to Plaintiff's employment were made for legitimate, non-discriminatory, non-pretextual reasons.
- 5. All actions taken by Evans were reasonable, proper, and justified, and therefore cannot form a basis for liability.
  - 6. Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages, if any, which are thereby reduced.
- 7. Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused by independent, intervening or concurrent causes and third parties over which Evans had no influence or control. Evans is not responsible or liable for such causes and actions.
- 8. An award of damages, if any, is limited by the provisions of NRS 41.0335 and NRS 41.035.
- 9. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of accord and satisfaction, waiver, estoppel, laches, and unclean hands.
- 10. Plaintiff's claims are barred by her failure to comply with statutory prerequisites for this action.
- 11. Plaintiff's claims are barred by 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(e) to the extent that Plaintiff makes allegations or claims under Title VII with respect to a time period more than 300 days before Plaintiffs allegedly filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ('EEOC"), or which were not made the subject of a timely EEOC charge.

Reno, NV 89505

1	12.	Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that the allegations contained therein do	
2	not reasonabl	y fall within the scope of claims made in any administrative charge timely filed by	
3	Plaintiff with the EEOC.		
4	13.	Some or all of Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of claim preclusion	
5	and issue pred	clusion.	
6	14.	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations and repose.	
7	15.	Plaintiff is barred from recovering damages as her claims are speculative, and/or	
8	unsupported by substantial or reliable evidence.		
9	16.	Evans is entitled to discretionary immunity and qualified immunity.	
10	17.	Evans's actions were reasonable and made in compliance with all laws governing	
11	such actions.	Therefore, no liability for violation of the law can exist.	
12	Evans	reserves the right to amend this pleading to include any additional or further	
13	affirmative defenses as their bases become known and relevant, and to bring other related		
14	affirmative claims.		
15	Where	efore, Evans prays:	
16	1.	For judgment in his favor on all claims and defenses of the parties;	
17	2.	For an award of all costs and attorney's fees incurred; and	
18	3.	For any additional or further relief this Court may deem just and	
19	DATE	ED this <u>25th</u> day of July, 2018.	
20		KARL S. HALL Reno City Attorney	
21			
22		$\mathbf{p}_{-}$ / / $\mathbf{M}_{-}$ 1 W $\mathbf{p}_{-}$	
23		By: <u>/s/ Mark W. Dunagan</u> MARK W. DUNAGAN	
24		Deputy City Attorney Nevada State Bar #10574	
25		Post Office Box 1900 Reno, Nevada 89505	
26		Attorneys for City of Reno,	
27		Scott Shaw and David Evans	
28			

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	Pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the RENO CITY		
3	ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, and that on this date, I am serving the foregoing document(s) on		
4	the party(s) set forth below by:		
5			
6	Placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing in the United States Mail, at Reno, Nevada, postage prepaid		
7	following ordinary business practices or;		
8	Personal delivery.		
9	X EFlex electronic service		
10	Facsimile (FAX).		
11	Federal Express or other overnight delivery.		
12	Reno/Carson Messenger Service.		
13			
14	addressed as follows:		
15			
16	Jason D. Guinasso, Esq. Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC		
17	500 Damonte Ranch Pkwy, Suite 980 Reno, NV 89521		
18	Attorney for Plaintiff		
19	DATED this _25 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018.		
20			
21	/s/ Katie Wellman		
22	Katie Wellman		
23	Legal Assistant		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
AT7	I control of the second of the		

Reno City Attorney P.O. Box 1900 Reno, NV 89505